

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 1:23-cr-84-TRM-MJD-1
)	
GREGORY ROYCE HINTON, JR.)	

On April 24, 2025, this Court conducted a plea hearing pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). At the hearing, Defendant moved to withdraw his not guilty plea to Count One of the one count Indictment and entered a plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment in exchange for the undertakings made by the Government in the written plea agreement [Doc. 41]. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, this Court finds: (1) Defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; (2) Defendant made the plea knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights he waived; (3) Defendant made the plea voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; (4) Defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and (5) the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 1:23-cr-00084-TRM-MJD Document 48 Filed 04/25/25 Page 1 of 2 PageID
#: 117

ENTER:


s/ _____
MIKE DUMITRU
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to *de novo* review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than fourteen days after the plea hearing. Failure to file objections within fourteen days constitutes a waiver of any further right to challenge the plea of guilty in this matter. See 28 U.S.C. §636(b).